

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/872,353	MCCARTHY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alicia Chevalier	1772	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alicia Chevalier. (3)_____.

(2) Douglas Larson. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 02 September 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: All cited.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner of record initiated a telephone interview with Applicant's representative to suggest an examiner's amendment to allow the case. The Examiner suggested to add the limitation "wherein the ultraremovable adhesive provides partial contact with said cardstock sheet construction, this limited partial contact area prevents said ultraremovable adhesive from becoming permanent overtime" to all the independent claims. Applicant's representative only agreed to add the limitation to 3 of the 5 independent claims.